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Summary

No explanation for the identity of the servant of the Lord in the ‘servant songs’ of Isaiah commands a scholarly consensus. This study attempts to overcome the present impasse by rejecting the dismemberment of Isaiah 40-66 advanced by Duhm and others, who isolate the ‘servant songs’ from their immediate literary context. Taking account of that context, which is dominated by a pervasive second exodus theme, this essay argues that Isaiah’s servant figure is to be identified with the expected ‘prophet like Moses’ (Dt. 18:14ff.; 34:10ff.). Such an approach enriches the interpretation of Isaiah 52:13-53:12 in particular and offers substantial support for the NT’s messianic interpretation without presupposing that interpretation, as is often done.

I. Introduction

In spite of the proliferation of scholarly attempts to identify the servant of the Lord in the so-called ‘servant songs’ of Isaiah (42:1-4 [9]; 49:1-6 [13]; 50:4-9 [11]; and 52:13-53:12), as yet no theory appears capable of commanding a scholarly consensus. On the other hand, the New

---

1 This paper was read 5 July 1994 before the Old Testament Study Group at the annual meeting of Tyndale Fellowship in Swanwick, Derbyshire, England.
2 For a summary of attempts to identify the servant figure, cf. C.R. North, The Suffering
Testament’s messianic interpretation remains unconvincing as an original referent for the servant figure because it seems too remote from any posited historical context for Deutero-Isaiah. After surveying a few commonly proposed identifications for the servant, the present study will attempt to rehabilitate an earlier view that, with important exceptions, has been largely neglected in recent scholarship, namely an identification of the servant with the expected ‘prophet like Moses’ mentioned in Deuteronomy 18:14ff. and 34:10ff.

II. Alternative Identifications of the Servant in the Servant Songs of Isaiah

1. The Servant in the Servant Songs of Isaiah refers to corporate Israel

Although the Ethiopian eunuch in Acts 8:32-35 apparently considered it self-evident that Isaiah 53 refers to an individual, many modern interpreters hold that the figure identified as ‘my servant [דָּוד]’ in 52:13 and 53:11 bears a collective reference, whether it is to the nation of Israel as


3 Given the mounting evidence for the literary unity of the book of Isaiah in its canonical form, the term ‘Deutero-Isaiah’ is retained here merely as a scholarly convention. No particular scheme of dating or compositional history for the book is presupposed.

a whole, to an ideal Israel, or to a faithful remnant of Israel.\textsuperscript{5} In support of a collective reference, it may be noted that the singular terms ‘my servant [םַלְדֵּי],’ ‘his servant [יִשְׂרָאֵל],’ and ‘servant [מָצְבִּית]’ appear twenty-five times in the book of Isaiah.\textsuperscript{6} In twelve of these (all in chapters 40-53) the intended reference appears to be Israel.\textsuperscript{7} For example, 41:8f. reads:

But you, Israel, my servant [םַלְדֵּי], Jacob, whom I have chosen, the offspring of Abraham, my friend; you whom I took from the ends of the earth, and called from its farthest corners, saying to you, ‘You are my servant [םַלְדֵּי], I have chosen you and not cast you off...’

Furthermore, in the servant songs there are several other descriptions or designations for the servant which are also used quite explicitly of Israel elsewhere in Isaiah. In particular, in 42:1 in the first servant song the expression ‘whom I uphold [בְּאֵיתָנ],’ which qualifies ‘my servant,’ parallels a similar promise addressed to Israel in 41:10, ‘I will uphold you [בְּאֵיתָנ].’ Also in 42:1 ‘my chosen [יִשְׂרָאֵל]’ is a designation that is used of all Israel in 43:20; 45:4; 65:9, 15, 22 (cf. 1 Ch. 16:13; Pss. 105:6, 43; 106:5). Likewise, the expression ‘called me from the womb [מִלְפֹּתַן]’ in 49:1 in


\textsuperscript{6} In Isaiah ‘my servant [םַלְדֵּי]’ appears fifteen times: 20:3; 22:20; 37:35; 41:8, 9; 42:1, 19, 43:10; 44:1, 2, 21; 45:4; 49:3; 52:13; 53:11. ‘His servant [םַלְדֵּי]’ appears four times: 44:26; 48:20; 50:10; 63:11 (cf. \textit{BHS}). ‘Servant [םַלְדֵּי]’ appears six times: 24:2; 42:19; 44:21; 49:5, 6, 7.

\textsuperscript{7} Isaiah 41:8, 9; 42:19 (\textit{bis}); 43:10; 44:1, 2, 21 (\textit{bis}); 45:4; 48:20; and 49:3. The plural term ‘servants’ nowhere appears before chapter 53. Starting in 54:17 it appears eleven times to the exclusion of the singular term. In each case it refers to the people of God, including converted foreigners, as in 56:6 (cf. 56:3).
the second servant song finds a parallel in ‘formed you in the womb [חָוֵל]’ which is addressed to Israel in 44:2, 24. Additionally, ‘he named me [גָּדוֹל וַחֲדָשָׁה]’ in 49:1 may find a parallel in ‘I have called you by name [גָּדוֹל וַחֲדָשָׁה]’ which is addressed to Israel in 43:1. Finally, ‘a light to the nations [גָּדוֹל וַחֲדָשָׁה]’ which appears in 49:6, is used of all Israel in 51:4 and perhaps 42:6, although this latter text may refer to an individual.

With reference to the fourth servant song, where the servant suffers, dies, and yet apparently lives (53:10f.), it is notable that the sufferings of Israel are similarly depicted in Ezekiel 37 as entailing a figurative death and resurrection. Moreover, it is possible that Israel’s ‘death’ was thought to have benefited the nations, as is suggested by the imagery of Isaiah 53, by virtue of the witness of faithful exilic Israelites, such as Daniel, Esther, and Mordecai (cf., e.g., Est. 8:17; Zc. 2:11; see also Is. 2:1-4). Furthermore, the imagery of being as sheep led to slaughter in 53:7 is used also of Israel in Psalm 44:22. Even the remarkable expression ‘... he shall bear their iniquities [חָרֵר וְחָשָׁת]’ in 53:11 need not exclude an identification with Israel since it does not require the notion of vicarious suffering. It can be used quite generally to refer to those who suffer the consequences of the offences of others, as is the case in Lamentations 5:7, ‘Our ancestors sinned; they are no more, and we bear their iniquities [K: שָׁאַלְתָּם וְחָשָׁת [Q: שָׁאַלְתָּם וְחָשָׁת]].’

In spite of its attractiveness, however, there are three serious objections to this view. First, the servant suffers or dies, according to 53:9, ‘though he had done no violence, nor was any deceit in his mouth.’ Similarly, the servant confesses in 50:5, ‘The Lord Yahweh has opened my ear, and I was not rebellious, I did not turn backward.’ Although righteousness is promised for eschatological Israel (cf. 1:26f.; 32:16f.; 53:11; 60:21; 61:3, 10f.; 62:2, 12), Deutero-Isaiah repeatedly stresses that contemporary Israel is a sinful people who suffer on account of their own transgressions (40:2; 42:18-25; 43:22-28; 47:7; 48:18f.; 50:1; 54:7; 57:17; 59:2ff.). This point is made specifically with reference to the remnant in 43:22; 46:3, 12; 48:1, 8; 53:6, 8; 55:7; 58:1ff.; 63:17; 64:5-7.9

---


9 Although Isaiah acknowledges that Babylon acted without mercy (47:6; cf. Zc. 1:15), against the supposition that Israel’s corporate sufferings went beyond the requirements of divine justice, Isaiah stresses rather that judgement had been tempered by divine
Second, with B. Duhm it is notable that while outside the servant songs the ‘servant’ figure clearly does refer to corporate Israel, the songs themselves are distinguished precisely by the fact that within each of them the ‘servant’ appears to be an individual.\textsuperscript{10} Especially remarkable is 49:1ff., which introduces the second servant song. The servant of the Lord is called ‘Israel’ in verse 3, but in verses 5 and 6 he is distinguished from another ‘Israel,’ which from the context can only refer to the repentant remnant:

He said to me, ‘You are my servant, Israel, in whom I will display my splendor.’ But I said, ‘I have labored to no purpose; I have spent my strength in vain and for nothing. Yet what is due me is in Yahweh’s hand, and my reward is with my God.’ And now Yahweh says — he who formed me in the womb to be his servant to bring Jacob back to him and gather Israel to himself, for I am honored in the eyes of Yahweh and my God has been my strength — he says: ‘It is too small a thing for you to be my servant to restore the tribes of Jacob and bring back those of Israel I have kept. I will also make you a light for the Gentiles, that you may bring my salvation to the ends of the earth.’ (49:3-6)\textsuperscript{11}

Given the well-established dual usage of the term ‘Israel’ elsewhere, where it can refer either to the individual patriarch or to the nation of which he was the progenitor, there can be no inherent objection to a similar dual usage of this term within Isaiah, where it bears both an individual and a collective reference.

Moreover, there are at least four other examples where the songs appear to distinguish the servant from the repentant remnant of Israel to whom he ministers. In 42:3 the servant is differentiated from needy and tender-conscienced Israelites (the ‘bruised reed,’ which he will not break, and ‘the dimly burning wick,’ which he does not quench).\textsuperscript{12} A similar


\footnotesize{11} Although the originality of ‘Israel’ in 49:3 in the MT has been disputed (cf., e.g., S.O.P. Mowinckel, \textit{He That Cometh} [ET of \textit{Han som kommer}, Copenhagen, 1951; New York and Nashville: Abingdon, n.d.] 462-464; H.M. Orlinsky, ‘The So-Called “Servant of the Lord” and “Suffering Servant” in Second Isaiah,’ \textit{79-89}), its inclusion is supported by all Hebrew MSS except \textit{Kern} 96, by both 1QIsa\textsuperscript{a} and 1QIsa\textsuperscript{b}, as well as by the LXX (except LXX\textsuperscript{O,34}, which read \textit{Iaεξωβ} in place of \textit{Iφαηλ}), the Targum, the Vulgate, and the Peshitta. It is also favoured by the principle of \textit{lectio difficilior}.

\footnotesize{12} Cf. 40:28-31; 51:4; 61:3. Alternatively, R.F. Melugin argues that 42:3 needs to be
contrast is implied by 42:6 and 49:8, where the servant is promised that he will be made “a covenant for the people.” A final example is found in 53:8: ‘... For he was cut off from the land of the living, stricken for the transgression of my people [יִשְׂרָאֵל].’ From the context ‘my people [יִשְׂרָאֵל]’ must refer to the forgiven, restored people of God, whether the ‘my’ refers to Yahweh or to the prophet. Accordingly, an identification of the servant with Israel is excluded because the ‘he’ who was ‘cut off’ cannot have the same referent as ‘my people.’

The third and final objection to an identification of the servant in the songs with corporate Israel is the observation that throughout Isaiah whenever the pronouns ‘we,’ ‘our,’ or ‘us’ are introduced abruptly, as in 53:1ff. (that is, without an explicit identification of the speakers, as in 2:3; 3:6; 4:1; etc.), it is always the prophet speaking on behalf of the people of Israel with whom he identifies (1:9f.; 16:6; 24:26; 33:2, 20; 42:24; 59:9-12; 63:15-19; 64:3-11; etc.). Accordingly, if the ‘we’ or ‘us’ in 53:1ff. is the prophet speaking on behalf of Israel, then the ‘he’ or ‘him’ of these same verses cannot also be a reference to Israel.

Consistent with this observation, other considerations support an interpretation of the ‘we’ in 53:6 as a reference to Israel, with whom the prophet identifies: ‘All we like sheep have gone astray; we have all turned to our own way, and Yahweh has laid on him the iniquity of us all.’ There are well known parallels for the comparison between Israel and sheep who have gone astray: Psalm 95:7-10; 119:176; Jeremiah 50:6. At several points within Isaiah the prophet acknowledges both his own sinfulness and the universality of sin among the people of Israel (cf. 6:5; 59:11-13; 64:5-9). Accordingly, if the ‘we’ of 53:6 is Israel, with whom the prophet identifies (hence the emphatic comprehensiveness of the first person plural

interpreted in light of 19:6; 36:6; and 43:17. Accordingly, the verse underscores the uncompromising fidelity of the servant: He ‘will not rely on a crushed reed and thus break it; nor will he depend upon and thus extinguish a dimly-burning wick’ (The Formation of Isaiah 40-55 [BZAW 141; Berlin and New York: de Gruyter, 1976] 99).

13 This conclusion holds whether or not one chooses to emend the MT, ‘my people [יִשְׂרָאֵל],’ to read ‘his people [יִשְׂרָאֵל]’ with 1QIsa.


15 Other texts compare the people of Israel to a wandering shepherdless flock: Numbers 27:17; 1 Kings 22:17; 2 Chronicles 18:16; Zechariah 10:2.
Of course, there are significant variations in detail.

Jesaja Tritojesaja Morgan R.N. others, 1 coming saviour (the apex), who is the embodiment of Israel (also ‘servant’ 1 The Suffering Servant in Deutero-Isaiah see 1 Acts 8:34) asks This is a reference to Israel. If that is the case, it may plausibly refer to some individual who was a predecessor or a contemporary of the author, or perhaps it refers to the prophet himself. This was the suggestion of the Ethiopian eunuch: ‘... About whom, may I ask you, does the prophet say this, about himself or about someone else?’ (Acts 8:34) An indirect corporate reference is still possible, however, if the

2. The Servant in the Servant Songs of Isaiah as an Historical, Future, or Ideal Individual

A Prophetic Servant: The Servant was Deutero-Isaiah himself
If the servant is not to be identified exclusively as a collective reference to Israel, it may plausibly refer to some individual who was a predecessor or a contemporary of the author, or perhaps it refers to the prophet himself. This was the suggestion of the Ethiopian eunuch: ‘... About whom, may I ask you, does the prophet say this, about himself or about someone else?’ (Acts 8:34) An indirect corporate reference is still possible, however, if the

---

16 For additional arguments against the collective interpretation of the servant figure, see J. Fischer, Das Buch Isaias, II Teil (HSAT; Bonn: Peter Hanstein, 1939) 10f.; C.R. North, The Suffering Servant in Deutero-Isaiah, 6ff.
17 Cf. the frequently cited pyramid analogy of F. Delitzsch, according to which the ‘servant’ designation is used of Israel as a whole (the base of the pyramid), it is used also of the purified remnant of Israel (the middle section), and it is used finally of the coming saviour (the apex), who is the embodiment of Israel (Isaiah, II: 174ff.).
18 That the servant in all four songs is the prophet himself is held by, among many others, J. Begrich (Studien zu Deuterojesaja, BWANT 4/25; Stuttgart: Kohlhammer, 1938), R.N. Whybray (Isaiah 40-66 [NCB; Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans and London: Marshall, Morgan & Scott, 1975] 71, 171-183), and K. Elliger (Deuterojesaja in seinem Verhältnis zu Tritojesaja [BWANT 63; Stuttgart: Kohlhammer, 1933]; idem, Deuterojesaja. 1. Teilband: Jesaja 40,1-45,7 [BKAT XI/1; Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1978] 198-221). Of course, there are significant variations in detail.
individual servant is intended as the representative or example for his people.\textsuperscript{19}

In favour of an identification with the prophet himself is the fact that in 20:3 Isaiah is explicitly identified as ‘my servant’: ‘Then Yahweh said, “Just as my servant Isaiah [יְשׁוֹבֵת יִשְׂרָאֵל] has walked naked and barefoot for three years as a sign and a portent against Egypt and Ethiopia....”’ Similarly 44:26 applies the term ‘his servant [יְשׁוֹבֵת]’ either to Isaiah, or at least to the prophets as a class: ‘... who confirms the word of his servant [יְשׁוֹבֵת], and fulfils the prediction of his messengers; who says of Jerusalem, “It shall be inhabited,” and of the cities of Judah, “They shall be rebuilt, and I will raise up their ruins....”’\textsuperscript{20} Perhaps the most compelling proof, however, that the servant is to be equated with Deutero-Isaiah is the presence of first person pronominal references in the second and third servant songs (\textit{e.g.}, 49:1, ‘Yahweh called me before I was born...’).\textsuperscript{21}

Other details concerning the servant support a prophetic identity. This is so, for example, with the themes of rejection and suffering in the third and fourth servant songs (50:6-9; 53:3-12; \textit{cf.} 42:4; 49:4, 7). Such rejection was predicted for Isaiah himself in 6:10 and was a common experience of many of the prophets. As with the servant in 53:7, the image of a lamb being led to the slaughter is employed in Jeremiah 11:19 to describe the prophet Jeremiah’s sufferings. Likewise, just as the servant bears the punishment of the people in 53:4ff., so also in Ezekiel 4:4-6 the prophet Ezekiel is instructed to bear the punishment of Israel. Furthermore, the intercessory work of the servant predicted in 53:12 is suggestive of a prophet: ‘... yet he bore the sin of many, and made intercession for the transgressors.’ See, for example, Genesis 20:7; 1 Samuel 12:23; Jeremiah 7:16; 27:18. In 42:1 the emphasis on the servant’s enduement with the spirit in 42:1 is consistent with a prophetic identification (\textit{cf.} 61:1; Nu. 11:25ff.; Ne.

\textsuperscript{19} This appears to be the logic of the New Testament authors, who combine the collective and individual interpretations of the servant songs by applying the details of the servant songs both to Jesus and to the church, since they are viewed as one. \textit{Cf., e.g.}, ‘there was no deceit in his mouth’ in 53:9, which is applied to Christ in 1 Peter 2:22, but to those who follow the lamb in Revelation 14:5. Note also how 49:6 is applied to Christ in Acts 26:23, but to Paul and Silas in Acts 13:47. Similarly, in Romans 8:33f. Paul applies 50:8-9, taken from the third servant song, to the church.

\textsuperscript{20} Outside Isaiah the servant designation is used of various prophets. \textit{Cf., e.g.}, Ahijah in 1 Kings 14:18; Elijah in 1 Kings 18:36, etc.; Jonah in 2 Kings 14:25.

9:30; Zc. 7:12; etc.), as is his work in proclaiming the law in 42:4 (cf. 8:16). Accordingly, the servant confesses in 49:2, ‘He made my mouth like a sharp sword....’ This prophetic cast is perhaps most clear in the third servant song:

The Lord Yahweh has given me the tongue of a teacher, that I may know how to sustain the weary with a word.... Who among you fears Yahweh and obeys the voice of his servant, who walks in darkness and has no light, yet trusts in the name of Yahweh and relies upon his God? (50:4, 10)

In spite of the strengths of this approach and the likelihood that there are prophetic traits in the portrait of the servant, there are other characteristics that cannot be harmonised with a prophetic identity, and the attempt to equate the servant with Deutero-Isaiah is unconvincing. Although the appearance of first person pronominal references for the servant in the second and third songs is striking, it is not sufficient to establish an identification with Deutero-Isaiah since this theory leaves unexplained the use of third person references for the servant in the first and fourth songs, which purportedly are no less autobiographical.22 Moreover, it is simply not the case that unintroduced first person references within prophetic discourse necessarily refer either to God or to the prophet. While this is a typical practice, there are a sufficient number of counter-examples, such as Isaiah 61:10, where the unannounced speaker appears to be a personified Zion, to require caution. Further, as mentioned earlier, whenever the pronouns ‘we,’ ‘our,’ or ‘us’ are introduced abruptly in Isaiah, as in 53:1ff., it is always the prophet speaking on behalf of the people of Israel, with whom he identifies. Accordingly, if the ‘we’ or ‘us’ in 53:1ff. is the prophet speaking on behalf of Israel, as the emphatic universality of 53:6 seems to require, then the ‘he’ or ‘him’ of these same verses cannot also be a reference to the prophet.23

Furthermore, it is only with difficulty that the servant’s commission to ‘bring forth/establish justice in the earth’ in 42:1, 3f. can be applied to a prophet.24 It is also hard to square what is said of the exaltation of the

---

23 This interpretation of 53:6 finds support in other texts, such as 6:5; 59:12; 16; 64:6, in which the prophet acknowledges his own sin and personal disqualification.
24 R.N. Whybray does not succeed in establishing his claim that ‘bring forth justice [םְשֹׁטֵא הַדֶּבָּרִים]’ in 42:1, 3 and ‘establish justice [םְשֹׁטֵא הַדֶּבָּרִים]’ in 42:4 mean merely ‘to publish/proclaim God’s universal rule’ (Isaiah 40-66, 72f.). Although ‘bring forth justice
servant in 52:13 (‘See, my servant shall prosper; he shall be exalted and lifted up, and shall be very high’) and his impact on ‘many nations’ and ‘kings’ in 52:15 (cf. 49:7) with the experience of Deutero-Isaiah or that of virtually any other prophet. This latter difficulty is recognised by many interpreters who favour the present approach. As a result, H.M. Orlinsky and R.N. Whybray, among others, argue that 52:13-15 is an independent oracle promising the reversal of Israel’s fortunes (Israel is ‘my servant’ in 52:13), and it ought to be detached from 53:1-12 (where the prophet Deutero-Isaiah is ‘my servant’ in 53:12). Such a suggestion, however, is unconvincing in the face of the coherence of 52:15b with 53:1a and the impressive A-B-C-B-A concentric literary structure of 52:13-53:12 as a whole. In particular, the terminology of ‘my servant’ (52:13 and 53:11) offers an inclusio, as does also the theme of the servant’s exaltation in the two A-sections: 52:13-15 and 53:10-12. These A-sections are distinguished by their use of ‘my,’ ‘I,’ and ‘many.’ On the other hand, both B-sections, 53:1-3 and 53:7-9, which stress the servant’s rejection, and the central C-section, 53:4-6, which stresses the significance of the servant’s suffering, employ ‘we’ and ‘our.’ Accordingly, although the exaltation in 52:13 is particularly troublesome for an identification of the servant in that text as the prophet, the parallel exaltation in 53:12 is no less so: ‘Therefore I will allot him a portion with the great, and he shall divide the spoil with the strong....’

[Cf. 49:7. Cf. also H.H. Rowley, who considers it incredible that the servant could be other than a future figure. He remarks, ‘To suppose that the prophet cherished the confidence that he himself was destined to achieve this mission, yet died without even...']
Finally, as observed by J.L. McKenzie, from the context of chapters 40-66 it would be quite unexpected for Isaiah to be intensely personal in these servant songs, as is claimed by those who identify the servant with the prophet. In his larger work the prophet hides himself so thoroughly behind his message that the resulting composition is among the least biographical of any of the prophets.

A Royal Servant (including the traditional Messianic View)

Without diminishing the impressive list of evidences in favour of prophetic elements in the portrait of the servant figure, other scholars have adduced equally cogent arguments for recognising various royal aspects to his work. The designation ‘servant [עבד]’ is commonly used of royal figures both within Isaiah and elsewhere. For example, 37:35 identifies David as ‘my servant’: ‘For I will defend this city to save it, for my own sake and for the sake of my servant David [עבדי יהוה].’ David is also identified as ‘the servant of Yahweh [עבד יהוה]’ in Psalm 18:1; 36:1, and pronominal forms of the term ‘servant [עבד]’ (i.e., ‘my servant,’ ‘your servant,’ ‘his servant’), referring to Yahweh, are applied to David in dozens of other verses. Likewise, in 42:1 the designation ‘my chosen one [עבדי]’ for the servant may also suggest a royal identity, since this term is applied to David in Psalm 89:4 [ET 3].

The assertion in 42:1 that Yahweh has placed his Spirit on his servant is congruent with a royal identity (cf. 11:1-3), but it does not require one. The intended result of that enduement, however, does favour a royal beginning it, is to ascribe these glorious songs to empty egotism’ (The Servant of the Lord and other Essays on the Old Testament, 52f.).


31 Cf., e.g., 1 Samuel 23:10; 2 Samuel 3:18; 7:5, 8, 20, 26; 24:10; 1 Kings 3:6; 8:24, 26, 66; 11:13, 32, 34, 36, 38; 14:8; 2 Kings 8:19; 19:34; 1 Chronicles 17:4, 7; 17:7, 18, 24; 21:8; 2 Chronicles 6:15, 16, 17, 42; Psalm 78:70; 89:4, 21 [ET 3, 20]; 144:9; Jeremiah 33:21, 22, 26; Ezekiel 34:23, 24; 37:24, 25.
identity: the servant ‘will bring forth justice’ in 42:1, 3 and ‘establish justice in the earth’ in 42:4 (cf. 51:4).

In the fourth song the texts which describe the response of earthly kings to the servant (52:14-15) and which promise victory and the exaltation of the servant (52:13; 53:12) likewise support a royal identity for the servant. The same is true of the honorific acknowledgement by kings and princes, who will ‘stand up’ and also ‘prostrate themselves’ before the servant in 49:7.

In 53:2 the twin metaphors of the tender shoot and the root, though less clear in their implication, may also suggest a royal figure. Supporting this implication is the mention of the ‘root of Jesse [שֵׁ[right]

Crazy, Darius, or Zerubbabel, among others.

Accordingly, various scholars have argued in favour of identifying the servant of the songs with either Uzziah, Hezekiah, Josiah, Jehoiachim, Cyrus, Darius, or Zerubbabel, among others.34

---


33 In spite of his significant role in Isaiah 44-45, Cyrus is nowhere called ‘my servant’ or ‘servant’ of the Lord, unlike Israel, who is so designated in the immediate context. Indeed, perhaps Cyrus is mentioned by name in 44:28; 45:1,13 to make clear that he is not the servant figure intended elsewhere.

Drawing attention to the evidences of royal imagery discussed above, the traditional messianic interpretation of the servant songs argues that the servant is the promised offspring of David mentioned in Isaiah 7, 9, and 11.\textsuperscript{35} Helping to link these texts to the concerns of the servant songs is 55:3-5, which renews the promise of an ‘everlasting covenant [םְלֹא תֵּלֶק]’ (cf. 42:6; 49:8), namely ‘my steadfast, sure love for David [םְלֹא תֵּלֶק דָּוִד].’ Just as the servant songs stress the international scope of the servant’s ministry (42:1, 4, 6; 49:1, 6, 7; 52:15), in 55:4f. Yahweh asserts, ‘I made him a witness to the peoples, a leader and commander for the peoples. See you shall call nations that you do not know, and nations that do not know you shall run to you....’\textsuperscript{36} This promise is reminiscent of David’s confession in 2 Samuel 22:44f. Although it is sometimes suggested that 55:3-5 transfers the substance of Yahweh’s covenant with David to the people as a whole, this is not clear and not favoured by the emphasis on the permanence of that covenant in vs. 3 and the use of singular forms (‘him,’ ‘witness,’ ‘leader,’ ‘commander,’ ‘you’) throughout 55:4f.\textsuperscript{37}

Against the traditional messianic interpretation, which looks to David as a source for the servant imagery in the songs, is the fact that apart from Isaiah 55 there is virtually a total absence of Davidic royal imagery throughout Isaiah 40-66, by contrast to what obtains in 1-39.\textsuperscript{38} This absence may be explained by the historical reality of the subjugated state of exilic and post-exilic Israel, where one should hardly expect a promised deliverer to assume the profile of a king.\textsuperscript{39} In any case, based on Israel’s pre-exilic history, in which the monarchy arose only long after her deliverance from

---

Watts combines several of these proposed identifications (Isaiah 34-66 [WBC; Waco, TX: Word Books, Publisher, 1987]). In his view Cyrus is the ‘servant’ in 42:1ff., while Darius I is the ‘servant’ mentioned in 49:5ff., 52:13 and 53:11. On the other hand, the figure who suffers and dies in 52:14; 53:1, 3-10a, 12 refers to Zerubbabel, who also appears in 50:4-9.


\textsuperscript{36} Cf. also 2:2-4; 51:4-5.

\textsuperscript{37} Cf., e.g., F.D. Kidner, ‘Isaiah,’ 619.

\textsuperscript{38} Cf. W.J. Dumbrell, Covenant and Creation, 190.

\textsuperscript{39} It is possible that the stress elsewhere in Isaiah on Yahweh’s kingship (6:5; 24:23; 33:22; 41:21; 43:15; 44:6; 52:7; 66:1; etc.) precludes a final kingly servant figure. Cf. R. Schultz, ‘The King in the Book of Isaiah,’ in the present volume.
Egypt and settlement in the land (cf. Dt. 17:14), what was needed in the new reality would be not so much a new David as a new Moses or Joshua.

Furthermore, there are several specific difficulties with any attempt to identify the servant exclusively with a royal figure, whether that figure is understood as historical, ideal, or messianic. In particular, the earlier cited evidence for a prophetic role for the servant weighs against such a view -- especially the emphasis on the gentle and sustaining effect of the servant’s words (42:4f.; 50:4; cf. 49:2). If the servant were a king it would seem irrelevant to assert that ‘he will not cry or lift up his voice, or make it heard in the street’ (42:2). In spite of its frequent attestation, ‘teaching [הדר]’ (42:4) is nowhere else ascribed to kings. Likewise, there is no obvious suggestion of royalty in the servant’s multiple calling to ‘open eyes that are blind’ (42:7), to ‘sprinkle [נזר] the nations,’ if this is the correct rendering of 52:13, or to suffer and make himself as a ‘guilt offering [降至]’ in 53:10, etc. The abuse that David accepted from Shimei et al. may offer a possible parallel to the willingness of the servant to give his back to the smiters in 50:6, but such behaviour is hardly characteristic of royalty. Finally, the description of the servant as ‘the slave of rulers [מלשם ממלכו]’ in 49:7 seems peculiar for any would-be scion of David.

**A Priestly Servant**

Alternatively, some scholars have sought to do greater justice to the various priestly aspects of the servant’s work by positing a reference to Jeremiah, who was both a priest and a prophet, to Ezekiel, who was also both a priest and a prophet, to Ezra, to Onias, or to some other contemporary priest. The enhanced religious and civil leadership role of Israel’s priesthoood in the second temple period may add to the attractiveness of this approach. Certainly the term ‘my servant [משה]’ (42:1; 49:3) would be applicable to a priest in view of Zechariah 3:8, where Joshua the High Priest and his associates are said to prefigure ‘my servant the Branch [משה צמחה].’ Likewise, just as the servant is Yahweh’s chosen [ mbox{דוהי} ] in 42:1; 49:7, Aaron is chosen [ mbox{דוהי} ] by Yahweh in Psalm 105:26 (cf. Dt. 18:5).

Other hints of the priestly identity of the servant include: the ‘teaching/law [הדר]’ of the servant for which the coastlands wait (42:4; cf. Mal. 2:6-9); the ‘justice [תיקון]’ he is to establish (42:1, 3f.; cf. Dt. 17:9f.; 2 Ki.

---

observations (cf. Ps. 106:30; Je. 7:16). Deserving special note is the fact that in 53:4-6 Israel’s guilt appears to devolve on the servant in a manner which is similar to the experience of the priests when they eat the sin and guilt offerings of the people (cf. Lv. 10:17; Zechariah 3). In particular, the servant bears the punishment of the people in 53:4ff. in words that echo the experience of the prophet-priest Ezekiel in Ezekiel 4:4-6, and the servant’s death effects atonement in 53:10-12 in a manner that is perhaps reminiscent of the symbolic expiatory consequence of the death of the High Priest in Numbers 35:25, 28, 32; Joshua 20:6.

For the purpose of the present study, it is enough to argue that even if all of these suggestions were equally convincing, they are not sufficient to identify the servant exclusively with a priestly figure. Some of these characteristics, such as the designations ‘my servant’ and ‘chosen,’ are ambiguous in their implication. Other features, such as the recurrent themes of the rejection and suffering of the servant (42:4; 49:4, 7; 50:6-9; 53:3-12) or the exaltation of the servant (49:7; 52:13-15; 53:12), do not readily suggest a priestly figure. Finally, as has been noted, there are too many other features in the songs which point more naturally in the alternative directions of either a prophetic or a royal personage.

III. The Servant as a Second Moses

In the face of such contradictory results, one may despair of any solution to the identity of the servant from the standpoint of the original context. Indeed, the prophet may have drawn from such a rich diversity of sources for the composite picture he paints that any attempt to identify the servant figure is necessarily reductionistic. Without diminishing that luxuriance

---

41 Elsewhere ‘sprinkling [םַח]’ is usually the work of a priest (e.g., Ex. 29:21; Lv. 4:6, 17; 5:9; 14:7, 16, 27, 51; 16:14, 15, 19; Nu. 19:4, 18, 19).
43 D.J.A. Clines suggests that the force of the poem in 52:13-53:12 may lie in its imprecision, concealment, and multivalence with respect to the identity of the servant figure (I, He, We, and They. A Literary Approach to Isaiah 53, 25-27, 33)
of imagery, however, it is still possible that there is a dominant image, which underlies the servant figure and justifies exactly the kind of blending of prophetic, royal, and priestly features that is found. What is proposed here is that this dominant and unifying image is that of a second Moses figure. In other words, the servant is the ‘prophet like Moses’ promised in Deuteronomy 18:14ff. and 34:10ff.

This interpretation is not novel, even if it is not as well-known as it deserves to be. Probably the earliest expression of this view, or at least a variation thereof, is found in the Talmudic tractate b. Soṭah 14a. Quoting Isaiah 53:12, Rabbi Simlai explains how Moses ‘poured out himself to death’ and ‘bore the sin of many’ when he offered his life, as related in Exodus 32:32, and atoned for his people after the Golden Calf incident. Simlai explains that Moses ‘was numbered with the transgressors’ because he was condemned to die along with the rest of the wilderness generation and that he ‘made intercession for the transgressors’ because he prayed for mercy on behalf of his fellow-Israelites. Whether Simlai considered that Isaiah was referring directly to Moses or merely inferred the applicability of Isaiah 53 to Moses because he detected an underlying Mosaic typology, this text demonstrates an early (3rd. century A.D., Amoraic) recognition of a Mosaic allusion within the servant songs.

Presumably under Talmudic influence, other Jewish interpreters have also recognised Mosaic allusions in Isaiah 53:12 and possibly 53:9-12, although they typically and somewhat inconsistently identify the servant elsewhere as a reference to Israel. For example, this is the view of David Kimchi (12th. century A.D.); Yalqut 2:338 (13th. century A.D.); the Zohar, Section מסכת ר"כ (13th. century A.D.); Moses el-Shaikh (16th. century A.D.); and Sh’lomoh Levi (16th. century A.D.).

---


Most recent interpreters who recognise Mosaic allusions within the servant songs tend to consider these to be more extensive than was appreciated by earlier scholars, and they also prefer a reference to a contemporary or anticipated second Moses, rather than to the historical Moses.\textsuperscript{46} Vitiating these advances, however, have been three factors. First, there has been a tendency among certain influential scholars to recognise only sporadic Mosaic allusions among the servant songs and also to combine this recognition with one of the more conventional views discussed above. So, for example, J.L. McKenzie recognises Mosaic allusions only in the first song, where the servant appears as ‘another Moses.’\textsuperscript{47} McKenzie suggests that the editor of Deutero-Isaiah believed the servant to be Deutero-Isaiah himself.\textsuperscript{48} Since McKenzie holds that the songs were originally unrelated to their present contexts, however, he argues that the servant is better understood as a composite ideal figure who represents what post-exilic Israel must become.\textsuperscript{49} R. Clifford’s interpretation resembles McKenzie’s in that he also considers that the servant represents (or ought to represent) post-exilic Israel.\textsuperscript{50} By contrast to McKenzie, Clifford finds Mosaic allusions only in the second song. Other scholars, such as S.


\textsuperscript{47} J.L. McKenzie, \textit{Second Isaiah} [AB 20; Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1968] 38, see also xliv, xlvii, liii. J. Ridderbos suggests that the prophet like Moses may have influenced the first servant song because he too appears as both a prophet and a lawgiver (\textit{Isaiah} [Bible Student’s Commentary; ET of \textit{Jesaja}, KVHS; Kampen, 1950-51; Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1984] 374).

\textsuperscript{48} \textit{Second Isaiah}, xli, xlii.

\textsuperscript{49} \textit{Ibid.}, lv.

\textsuperscript{50} ‘Isaiah 40-66’ 572, 580f.
Mowinckel, emphasise the presence of Mosaic allusions only in the fourth song.\textsuperscript{51}

A second factor that has contributed to the neglect of this potentially fruitful insight has been the tendency to confuse the recognition of Mosaic allusions throughout the songs with the rather implausible view of E. Sellin, which he later abandoned, but not before he had convinced Sigmund Freud.\textsuperscript{52} Freud subsequently popularised the view in his \textit{Moses and Monotheism}. On Sellin’s view Moses was murdered by his own people after the Baal of Peor incident and it was his death, not that of the obscure Zimri in Numbers 25, that stopped a plague. Sellin notes that the title ‘servant of Yahweh,’ ‘my servant,’ ‘his servant,’ etc. is pre-eminently applied to Moses, and he is so called in 63:11 (‘his servant’). As is the case with the servant in Isaiah, Numbers 12:3 stresses the exceptional meekness of Moses. If Exodus 15:25f. implies that Moses suffered a dread Egyptian disease, as Sellin supposes, then here is the background for the depiction in 53:2f. Finally, just as Moses’ grave was hidden in the wilderness, so the servant’s grave was with the wilderness ‘he-goat demons,’ according to 53:9 (Sellin freely emends תֶּבֶן צְבָיָא). C.R. North summarises why Sellin’s view proves to be unconvincing: ‘none of these analogies, nor all of them together, constitutes proof of identification, and many of them would apply equally well to Jeremiah or Job.\textsuperscript{53}

The third factor causing modern scholarship to overlook the possibility that the servant is a second Moses figure is the practice since B. Duhrm of prescinding the servant songs from their immediate context.\textsuperscript{54}

\textsuperscript{51} Although he identifies the servant with an unknown prophet, who lived sometime after Deutero-Isaiah, S.O.P. Mowinckel suggests that in the portrait of the servant the poet-prophet may have utilised the traditions of Moses’ intercession and his readiness to die to appease the wrath of Yahweh. Such a procedure reflects the conviction that Moses was ‘the pattern for all prophets’ (\textit{He That Cometh}, 232).


\textsuperscript{53} C.R. North, \textit{The Suffering Servant in Deutero-Isaiah}, 55.

\textsuperscript{54} B. Duhrm, \textit{Die Theologie der Propheten} [Bonn: A. Marcus, 1875]; \textit{idem, Das Buch Jesaja} (1892). Perhaps by force of scholarly habit, this tendency to minimise the canonical context for the servant songs may be observed even among interpreters who reject Duhrm’s claim for their independent authorship. Cf., e.g., R.N. Whybray, \textit{Isaiah} 40-66,
More recent scholarship, especially the work of T.N.D. Mettinger, has dealt a severe blow to this earlier consensus.\textsuperscript{55} If it can be conceded now that the songs are, in fact, integral to their context, then it is that neglected context which may provide the most compelling evidence for the servant’s Mosaic identity.\textsuperscript{56}

1. Second Exodus

Although Isaiah 40-55 is extraordinarily rich in its complexity and multifaceted imagery, it is widely recognised that the controlling and sustained theme of these chapters is that of a second exodus.\textsuperscript{57} While one


\textsuperscript{56} Perhaps a fourth factor for the current neglect of the second Moses hypothesis is worth noting. C.R. North, in his classic \textit{The Suffering Servant in Deutero-Isaiah}, overlooks the second Moses hypothesis in his widely quoted preface in which he summarises his nearly exhaustive survey through 1948 of scholarly approaches to the servant’s identity. Many subsequent works have repeated this omission. Cf., e.g., D.J.A. Cline’s otherwise useful survey of major approaches to the identity of the servant, which updates the work of North (\textit{I, He, We, & They}, 25-27).

should not neglect the importance of the second exodus theme already in chapters 1-39 (e.g. 4:2-6; 10:24-26; 11:11, 15-16; 35:5-10) or its continuing prevalence in chapters 56-66 (e.g. 58:8; 60:2, 19; 63), it is almost omnipresent in chapters 40-55, for which it provides an inclusio (40:1-11; 55:12-13).58 With respect to these chapters which provide the immediate context for the servant songs, B.W. Anderson identifies at least ten texts which make explicit use of second exodus imagery: 40:3-5; 41:17-20; 42:14-16; 43:1-3, 14-21; 48:20-21; 49:8-12; 51:9-10; 52:11-12; 55:12-13.59 There are other possible examples, including 42:13; 44:27; 54:3, 13. Since the prophet grounds the promise of the second exodus in the reality of the first (cf. 51:9ff., etc.), references to the original exodus gain relevance and may be added here as well: 41:4, 9; 44:2, 7f.; 46:3f.; 48:8; 52:4.

To this impressive list one might also add references to the related themes of redemption, recreation, theophany, and pilgrimage/divine triumphal procession to God’s holy mountain. While each of these can be viewed as an unrelated or even competing theme, several recent studies have demonstrated that all four are perhaps best understood as elaborations of the second exodus theme.60 So, for example, 43:1f. provides

58 There is no need to dismiss second exodus allusions within chapters 1-39 as intrusive, as does B.W. Anderson (‘Exodus and Covenant in Second Isaiah and Prophetic Tradition,’ 359, n. 26). The use of exodus imagery as a model for redemption is hardly confined to Isaiah 40ff. Cf., e.g., Hosea 2:16f. [ET 14f.]; Micah 7:14f.; Jeremiah 16:14f.; 23:7f.; Ezekiel 20; etc.


Finally, for Isaiah’s use of pilgrimage/triumphal procession imagery and its coherence with the second exodus theme, cf. G. von Rad, Old Testament Theology, II: 239; R.N. Whybray, Isaiah 40-66, 168; E.H. Merrill, ‘Pilgrimage and Procession: Motifs of
a clear instance where the language of both creation and redemption appears in the context of second exodus imagery:

But now thus says Yahweh, he who created you, O Jacob, he who formed you, O Israel: Do not fear, for I have redeemed you; I have called you by name, you are mine. When you pass through the waters, I will be with you; and through the rivers, they shall not overwhelm you... (cf. 51:9-11).

Other texts which employ creation themes for the second exodus image include 43:1, 15; 55:12f., etc. Finally, a passage such as 51:9-11 demonstrates that the second exodus was to reflect the pattern of the original in a pilgrimage/triumphal procession to God’s holy mountain (cf. 41:17-20; 42:14-17; 43:1-7; 52:7-12; 56:6-8; 57:14; 60:4-7; 62:10; 66:20-23).

Of course, the attraction and relevance of second exodus imagery for the prophet’s use are transparent. God promises to deliver his people, who are dispersed among the nations, from their oppression and to return them to the Promised Land. From the vantage point of their captivity the people recognised, according to 63:11-15, that a new exodus was precisely what was needed.

The reapplication, with appropriate escalation, of many of the details of the original exodus to the second exodus
It is necessary to stress that the prophet’s application of the second exodus theme is not restricted to the central facts of the divine rescue of a needy people, redemption, recreation, triumphal procession, etc. Rather, a host of ancillary details connected with the original exodus are reapplied, with appropriate escalation, to the second exodus. For example, just as there is repeated stress on the sovereign predictive word of Yahweh that determined the outcome of the original exodus (Gn. 15:13f.; 50:24; Ex. 3:12, 17; 6:6f.; etc.), so also there is corresponding emphasis on the sovereign predictive word of Yahweh with respect to the second exodus (44:6-8; cf. 41:22f., 26; 42:9; 43:9, 18; 44:25ff.; 45:21; 46:9-11; 48:3-6, 14). Indeed, in light of 43:18 it seems likely that the ‘former things [תֵּבוּצָה]’ in 41:22; 42:9; 43:9; 46:9; 48:3 (cf. 44:7; 65:17), which were predicted long ago, refer pre-eminently to the exodus redemption. Accordingly, the ‘new thing(s)

---

61 Cf. A. Bentzen, ‘On the Idea of “the Old” and “the New” in Deutero-Isaiah,’ Studia
Isaiah whereby the poet-prophet easily melds Davidic imagery with exodus imagery. Moreover, since Yahweh personally led Israel out of Egypt and provided light for their way, once again Yahweh will personally lead his people and turn their darkness into light (42:16; 52:12). As the original exodus was intended to draw God’s people into a covenant with himself, so also this second exodus will result in an ‘everlasting covenant’ according to 61:8 (cf. 42:6; 49:8; 59:21). Since the original exodus resulted in Israel’s calling to be a kingdom of priests (Ex. 19:6) and the subsequent establishment of the Levitical priesthood (Ex. 32:29), so this new exodus will issue in a renewed calling to be ‘priests of Yahweh’ (61:6) and a surprising new selection of priests and Levites: ‘And I will also take some of them [of Tarshish, Lybia, Lydia, Tubal, and Greece] as priests and as Levites, says Yahweh’ (66:21). Furthermore, as Isaiah 48:20f. makes clear, because Yahweh miraculously provided water for his people in the original exodus, a similar provision is assured for the second exodus:

Go out from Babylon, flee from Chaldea, declare this with a shout of joy, proclaim it, send it forth to the end of the earth; say, ‘Yahweh has redeemed his servant Jacob!’ They did not thirst when he led them through the deserts; he made water flow for them from the rock; he split open the rock and the water gushed out. Though not all equally convincing, similar examples are easily multiplied.

**Cyrus as a second Pharaoh**

One further example that is especially intriguing concerns the account of Cyrus in 44:28-45:13 (cf. 41:1-7). As was noted above, there are numerous parallels between Cyrus and the servant. While an identification between these figures was rejected, it remains possible that the prophet intended these parallels to point to the servant figure as the one who would complete the deliverance of Israel begun by Cyrus at a more limited topological (material) level. Here it is only necessary to add that in terms of the overarching second exodus theme, which is very much in evidence in the immediate context (44:25, 27; 45:2, 7; etc.), there are many details in the

---


62 In 55:3 the reference to the ‘everlasting covenant’ suggests the fluidity of images in Isaiah whereby the poet-prophet easily melds Davidic imagery with exodus imagery.
portrait of Cyrus that recall Pharaoh. Accordingly Cyrus may be intended not only as a partial prefiguration of, but also as a foil for the servant who would come as the new Moses.63

In particular, in Exodus 5:2 Pharaoh objects to Moses’ request to allow Israel to leave: ‘I do not know Yahweh [יְהוָה]’ Echoing this response of Pharaoh, but in sharp contrast to the servant (Is. 49:1-5; 50:4-10), twice it is said of Cyrus that he does not know Yahweh [יְהוָה] (Is. 45:4, 5; cf. 19:21). Nevertheless, in Exodus 7:5 Yahweh reveals that he will deliver his people so that ‘The Egyptians shall know [יְדַע] that I am Yahweh [יְהוָה]’ (cf. Ex. 7:17; 8:6 [ET 10]; 14:18; etc.). This same ultimate purpose is reiterated with respect to Yahweh’s dealings with Cyrus in Isaiah 45:3: ‘... so that you may know [יְדַע] that I am Yahweh [יְהוָה].’

There is an obvious general similarity between Pharaoh and Cyrus as leaders of non-Israelite nations which ruled over Israel. Moreover, both Exodus and Isaiah stress that these leaders were raised up to fulfil their role in regard to Israel so that Yahweh would gain universal glory (note the similarity between Exodus 9:16 and Isaiah 45:4-5). Furthermore, just as Yahweh overcame the wise men [זקנים] of Egypt (Ex. 7:11), this glorious deliverance exemplifies the power of Yahweh, ‘who foils the signs of false prophets and makes fools of diviners, who overthrows the wise [זקנים]...’ (Is. 44:25). Finally, forced by Yahweh and without any compensation, Pharaoh does let the captive people of Israel go free [חרוז] (Ex. 3:20; 6:1; 14:5; etc.). Similarly Isaiah 45:13 declares, ‘I have aroused Cyrus in righteousness, and I will make all his paths straight; he shall build my city and set my exiles free [חרוז], not for price or reward, says Yahweh of hosts.’

Second exodus imagery in the context of the first servant song (Isaiah 42:1-4 [9])
Second exodus imagery is evident in the immediate context of each of the servant songs. Prior to the first servant song, for instance, exodus imagery appears in 41:17-20, where Yahweh promises to provide the poor with water in the wilderness and an abundance of welcome shade trees (cf. Ex. 15:27; 17:1-7; Nu. 20:1-13). Exodus imagery resumes in 42:13-16, where

---

63 Stressing the prevalence of the new exodus theme in Deutero-Isaiah, G.S. Ogden wonders whether Cyrus is a ‘new Moses’ (‘Moses and Cyrus,’ VT 28 [1978] 195-203). Although Ogden discusses five points where the Cyrus Song (44:24-45:13) suggests literary dependence on the early chapters of Exodus, none of these requires the proposed identification of Cyrus as a Moses figure.
Yahweh promises to go forth as a ‘man of war’ to triumph over his enemies (cf. ‘man of war’ in Ex. 15:3), to dry up rivers (Ex. 14:16-29), to lead his blind people along unfamiliar paths, and to turn darkness into light (cf. Ex. 13:21f.).

Further, in 42:6f. the servant’s work to ‘bring out’ the prisoners from the ‘house of confinement’ may echo the exodus where Yahweh ‘leads out’ Israel from the ‘house of bondage’ (Ex. 13:3, 14; 20:2; Dt. 5:6; 6:12; 7:8; 8:14; 13:5,10; etc.).

Second exodus imagery in the context of the second servant song (Isaiah 49:1-6 [13])

The verses which immediately precede the second servant song, namely 48:20-22, likewise offer an example of second exodus imagery. The text begins in 48:20a with a command to the people: ‘Go out from Babylon, flee from Chaldea (cf. Ex. 11:8; 12:31; 14:5).’ A triumphant proclamation of redemption in 48:20b (cf., e.g., Ex. 6:6; 15:13) is then followed in verse 21 by an unmistakable allusion to the original exodus: ‘They did not thirst when he led them through the deserts; he made water flow for them from the rock; he split open the rock and the water gushed out.’ The verses which immediately follow the second servant song, namely 49:8-12, similarly speak of ‘a day of salvation’ when the land will be reapportioned (Nu. 32:33; Jos. 13:8, 15ff., 32ff.) and the imprisoned people will be commanded to ‘come out’ (cf. Ex. 11:8; 12:31). An exodus allusion is transparent in the promise that

They shall feed along the ways, on all the bare heights shall be their pasture; they shall not hunger or thirst, neither scorching wind nor sun shall strike them down, for he who has pity on them will lead them, and by springs of water will guide them (49:9b-10; cf. Ex. 15:27; 16:4ff.; 17:6; etc.).

---

65 In support of a second Moses identity for the servant in the second song, cf. A. Bentzen, King and Messiah, 66; G. Vermes, ‘Die Gestalt des Moses an der Wende der beiden Testaments,’ in Moses in Schrift und Überlieferung, R. Bloch and G. Vermes, eds. (Düsseldorf: Patmos-Verlag, 1963) 61-93, at 80.
Second exodus imagery in the context of the third servant song (Isaiah 50:4-9 [11])
The third servant song also is immediately preceded by a reference to the original exodus:

... Is my hand shortened, that it cannot redeem? Or have I no power to deliver? By my rebuke I dry up the sea, I make the rivers a desert; their fish stink for lack of water, and die of thirst. I clothe the heavens with blackness, and make sackcloth their covering. (50:2f.)

The same logic reappears in 51:9-11, where the prophet again recalls the original exodus as a basis for assurance that Yahweh is entirely able to restore his ransomed people to Zion.

Second exodus imagery in the context of the fourth servant song (Isaiah 52:13-53:12)

In 52:2-4 there is an allusion to the exodus and especially Israel’s sojourn in Egypt, which is compared to the Assyrian captivity of the northern tribes:

Shake yourself from the dust, rise up, O captive Jerusalem; loose the bonds from your neck, O captive daughter Zion! For thus says Yahweh: You were sold for nothing, and you shall be redeemed without money. For thus says the Lord Yahweh: Long ago, my people went down into Egypt to reside there as aliens; the Assyrian, too, has oppressed them without cause.

As elsewhere, this historical review serves as an assurance that Yahweh will once again redeem his people who ‘are taken away without cause’ (52:5). Second exodus imagery resumes in the three verses which immediately precede the fourth song:

Yahweh has bared his holy arm before the eyes of all the nations [cf. Ex. 6:6; 15:16; Nu. 14:13; Dt. 1:30-33; 4:34]; and all the ends of the earth shall see the salvation of our God. Depart, depart, go out from there! Touch no unclean thing; go out from the midst of it, purify yourselves, you who carry the vessels of Yahweh. For you shall not go out in haste, and you shall not go in flight; for Yahweh will go before you, and the God of Israel will be your rear guard. (52:10-12)

As at the original exodus (Ex. 19:14), here also the Israelites are commanded to purify themselves. Once more ‘Yahweh will go before you,
and the God of Israel will be your rear guard’ (cf. Exodus 13:21f.; 14:19-20). This time, however, the people will leave in serenity with Yahweh going before them: ‘For you shall not go out in haste [הַשָּׁלֹם], and you shall not go in flight.’\(^{66}\) Contrast Exodus 12:11 and Deuteronomy 16:3. Indeed, the term ‘haste [הָשָּׁלֹם]’ appears nowhere else outside these three texts.

\section*{2. Second Moses}

As noted by G. von Rad, the prominence of the second exodus theme in Deutero-Isaiah invites, if it does not demand, an identification of the servant of the Lord with a second Moses figure.\(^{67}\) Isaiah 63:11-19 offers important evidence for this association. In their desperation, the people cry out for a new exodus and with it, at least implicitly, a new Moses:

Then they remembered the days of old, of Moses, his servant. Where is the one who brought them up out of the sea...? Where is the one who put within them his holy spirit, who caused his glorious arm to march at the right hand of Moses, who divided the waters before them...?

Predisposed by this context to recognise the servant figure as the long awaited ‘prophet like Moses,’ the servant songs yield abundant confirmatory evidence for this identification.

1) Apart from David, no individual is more frequently identified as the ‘servant [משה]’ of the Lord than Moses. This appellation is applied to him forty times. Specifically, eighteen out of the twenty-three occurrences of ‘the servant of Yahweh [משה יהוה]’\(^{68}\) and all four of the occurrences of ‘the servant of God [משה יְהוָה]’\(^{69}\) are applied to Moses. In addition, with

\(^{66}\) See also 58:8. H.M. Orlinsky uses the second exodus theme which begins in Isaiah 51 to argue that 52:13-14 belongs to what precedes and so should be detached from 53:1-12 (‘The So-Called “Servant of the Lord” and “Suffering Servant” in Second Isaiah,’ 21, 22). See earlier discussion against this proposal. Providing additional evidence for the coherence of the fourth song with its context, R.F. Melugin notes the repetition of the ‘arm [מרה]’ of the Lord in 51:5, 9; 52:10; 53:1 and of the verb ‘to see [ראָא]’ in 49:7; 52:10, 15 (\textit{The Formation of Isaiah} 40-55, 168).

\(^{67}\) Old Testament Theology, 2:261.

\(^{68}\) Deuteronomy 34:5; Joshua 1:1, 13, 15; 8:31, 33; 11:12; 12:6 (\textit{bis}); 13:8; 14:7; 18:7; 22:2, 4, 5; 2 Kings 18:12; 2 Chronicles 1:3; 24:6. The five remaining occurrences are Joshua 24:29; Judges 2:8; Isaiah 42:19; Psalm 18:1 [ET 0]; Psalm 36:1 [ET 0].

\(^{69}\) 1 Chronicles 6:34 [ET 49]; 2 Chronicles 24:9; Nehemiah 10:30 [ET 29]; Daniel 9:11.
reference to God he is designated six times each as ‘his servant [יהוה עבד],’\(^{70}\) ‘my servant [יהוה שובל],’\(^{71}\) and ‘your servant [יהוה עבד].’\(^{72}\) What makes this designation particularly characteristic of Moses is Numbers 12:6-8, where Yahweh twice distinguishes Moses as ‘my servant’ over against those who were merely prophets.

2) Second, all the evidence cited earlier for the royal, priestly, and especially prophetic characteristics of the servant figure is easily accommodated if the figure is understood as a reference to the promised ‘prophet like Moses’ mentioned in Deuteronomy 18:14ff. and 34:10ff.\(^{73}\) Indeed, it is arguable that only on the assumption of a Moses-like figure, in whom these disparate offices cohere, can justice be done to this rich diversity of imagery. Though enjoying more privileged revelation than the prophets (cf. Nu. 12:6-8), Moses clearly functioned as a prophet and is identified as such in Deuteronomy 34:10, ‘Never since has there arisen a prophet in Israel like Moses, whom Yahweh knew face to face’ (cf. Dt. 18:15, 18).

On the other hand, Moses functioned as a priest in his holiness and mediatorial access to God within the tabernacle (Ex. 33:9; 40:31; etc.; cf. Nu. 16), his ministry of intercession and making atonement (Ex. 32:30; Nu. 14:5; etc.), his involvement in sacrifice and blood manipulation (Ex. 24:6-8; Lv. 8), and his blessing of the people (Ex. 39:43; Lv. 9:23; Dt. 33:1). See also Psalm 99:6, ‘Moses and Aaron were among his priests, Samuel also was among those who called on his name. They cried to Yahweh, and he answered them.’

Even though Moses was not a king, he exercised royal (i.e. pre-eminent civil) authority over the people as their divinely appointed ruler (cf. Ex. 2:14). He led the people, directed them in battle, judged them, and appointed commanders of thousands, hundreds, fifties, and tens, who assisted him in administering justice (Exodus 18; Numbers 11;
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\(^{71}\) Numbers 12:7, 8; Joshua 1:2, 7; 2 Kings 21:8; Malachi 3:22 [ET 4:4].

\(^{72}\) Exodus 4:10; Numbers 11:11; 1 Kings 8:53; Nehemiah 1:7, 8; 9:14.

\(^{73}\) So, for example, C. Westermann notes the royal features of the servant in 42:1 and his prophetic features in 42:2-4. Westermann suggests that the designation ‘servant’ may have been chosen precisely to allow a melding of these traits in a single individual, much as had been the case with Moses, who is often called ‘servant’ (Isaiah 40-66 [OTL; ET of Das Buch Jesaia, 40-66, ATD 19, Göttingen, 1966; Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1969] 97). Surprisingly, Westermann does not develop this insight.
Agreeably, when Moses asked Yahweh to appoint his successor, the job description was hardly distinguishable from that of a king:

Let Yahweh, the God of the spirits of all flesh, appoint someone over the congregation who shall go out before them and come in before them, who shall lead them out and bring them in, so that the congregation of Yahweh may not be like sheep without a shepherd (Nu. 27:16f.; cf., e.g., 1 Ki. 3:7).

3) An identification of the servant with a second Moses figure provides a ready solution for the problem of the corporate vs. individual identity of the servant figure. At Israel’s own request, Moses was the representative of his people (Ex. 20:18-19). Furthermore, not only was Moses’ life exemplary in terms of faith and obedience, but also it provided a pattern for Israel’s experience: his calling was in large measure theirs. So, for example, Moses was rescued from certain death at the hand of the Egyptians through a water ordeal in Exodus 2:1-10. The mention of ‘reeds’ in Exodus 2:3, 5 may provide a verbal link to ‘the sea of reeds’ in Exodus 15:4, etc. Likewise, Moses’ flight from Egypt and from the murderous intention of the Pharaoh (Ex. 2:15) prefigures the later flight of Israel. Similarly, his experience of the fire theophany in the bush at Horeb in Exodus 3, where Yahweh promises his presence with Moses and reveals his name, seems to anticipate the subsequent fire theophany at Sinai for all Israel in Exodus 19f. (cf. Ex. 3:12). In other words, the relationship between Moses and Israel is analogous to the relationship between the servant and Israel posited above. The servant is the representative of and model for his people: they share a common calling to be the servant of Yahweh, a light to the nations, etc.

4) Two appellations in the servant songs besides the term ‘servant’ are at least consistent with, if they do not support, the proposed second Moses identification. The first is the term ‘my chosen’ in 42:1; Moses is called ‘his chosen’ in Psalm 106:23. The second is the term ‘Israel’ found in 49:3: ‘He said to me, “You are my servant, Israel, in whom I will display my splendor.”’ While Moses is never called ‘Israel,’ on three occasions he would have been so called had Yahweh prevailed in his

---

74 According to Solomon’s prayer in 1 Kings 3:9 the pre-eminent responsibility of a king is to judge the people, the very expression that is used of Moses in Exodus 18:13.
expressed wish. The first of these was immediately after the golden calf incident in Exodus 32:9f.:

Yahweh said to Moses, ‘I have seen this people, how stiff-necked they are. Now let me alone, so that my wrath may burn hot against them and I may consume them; and of you I will make a great nation.’

Employing the vocabulary of the Abrahamic covenant in Genesis 12:2 (cf. 17:20; 18:18; 21:18), which was reapplied to Jacob/Israel in Genesis 46:3, Yahweh promised Moses that he would now become the sole heir of that covenant: he would be the new Israel. The same result was threatened on two other occasions: at Kadesh Barnea (cf. Nu. 14:12, ‘I will strike them with pestilence and disinherit them, and I will make of you a nation greater and mightier than they’) and, with less specificity, in the aftermath of the rebellion of Korah, Dathan, and Abiram (cf. Nu. 16:20ff.).

5) The enduement with God’s spirit mentioned in 42:1 may find its source in the emphasis on Moses’ possession of the spirit in Numbers 11:17ff. Similarly, there may be a Mosaic allusion in 61:1-7, where the prophet employs the vocabulary of the Jubilee year of release found in Leviticus 25:10 (cf. Je. 34:8, 15, 17; Ezk. 46:17). This in turn may have been patterned after Israel’s own experience of manumission from Egyptian slavery, which may account for such an incidental verbal parallel as the use of the יִהְיֶה horn in Exodus 19:13, as in Leviticus 25 and 27.

6) The servant’s calling to establish ‘justice [‡הממש],’ which is repeated in 42:1, 3, 4, and which is paralleled with the promise that ‘the coastlands wait for his law [יבִּרְחֲנוּ נַחֲלָּהוֹ]’ in 42:4, suitably escalates in its universal application the work of the original Moses, who established justice [‡הממש] and law [‡דִי] for Israel (cf. Ex. 18; 21:1; 24:3; Nu. 11; 27:5; Dt. 1; 4:1, 13; 7:11ff.; 10:4). Like Isaiah’s servant, Moses was more than a prophet; he was a law-giver. Of course, in the original exodus there was already an incipient

75 Significantly, 11QMelch begins by combining citations of Leviticus 25:13 and Deuteronomy 15:2, which it applies to the last days by means of a reference to Isaiah 61:1.

Isaiah 61:1-7 appears to be correlated with the servant songs; indeed, as argued by W. Zimmerli, among others, it may provide the earliest evidence for an interpretation of the servant as an individual (‘παπας θεου,’ TDNT,IV, 666, n. 67). Just as both the servant and the messenger have the spirit upon them for their work, their mission and message appear similar. See 42:7 and 49:9. Compare also ‘the year of favour [‡שִׂירָד]’ in 61:2 with ‘the time of favour [‡שִׂירָד]’ in 49:8.
universalism in that the law was to be applied to resident non-Israelites without discrimination (Ex. 12:49; Lv. 24:22; Nu. 15:16, 29) and was destined to impress the nations (Dt. 4:8). Indeed, the onlooking nations were a major concern for Moses in his intercession on behalf of the refractory Israel: he feared that the nations might misconstrue Yahweh’s wrath against his people as evidence of inability to keep his promise (Ex. 32:12; 33:16; Nu. 14:13-16). Moreover, according to Exodus 12:38, the beneficiaries of the original exodus, hence recipients of Moses’ teaching, included representatives from non-Israelite ethnic groups: ‘A mixed crowd [עֲרָב יִשְׂרָאֵל] also went up with them’ (cf. Nu. 11:4). Confirming this fact is the subsequent presence of foreign elements apparently engrafted into Israel, such as Kenizzites (Nu. 32:12; Jos. 15:13), Midianites (Nu. 10:29ff.; cf. the Kenites mentioned in Judg. 1:16; 4:11), and even a half-Egyptian (Lv. 24:10).

Furthermore, although other backgrounds for the ‘light for the nations’ calling in 42:7 (and 49:6) are possible, an intriguing option is to relate this promise of figurative illumination to the account in Exodus 34:29-35, where Moses’ face literally shone as he shared the law of Yahweh with the people (cf. 42:16). In support, 60:1-3 identifies the light with the glory of Yahweh.

7) Although the call narrative in 49:1ff. offers significant parallels to Jeremiah 1:4-10, it is widely recognised that the narrative in Jeremiah is itself based on the call of Moses. More particularly, the servant’s objection to his call and sense of futility in 49:4, as well as his unpromising origin in 53:1ff., find a plausible antecedent in the complaint of the self-doubting Moses in Exodus 3:11, ‘Who am I that I should go to Pharaoh, and bring the Israelites out of Egypt?’ Likewise, the theme of meekness in the servant’s
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76 Cf. the promises to the patriarchs that all peoples would be blessed through them and through their seed (e.g., Gn. 12:3; 22:18), that they would become ‘a company of nations’ (Gn. 35:11f.; 48:4), etc.
77 Though note 51:4, ‘... my justice for a light to the peoples.’ Although he identifies the servant with Israel, Sh’lomoh Astruc suggests that the radiance of the servant’s countenance in Isaiah 52:14 recalls and exceeds that of Moses in Exodus 34:30 (S.R. Driver and A. Neubauer, The Fifty-Third Chapter of Isaiah According to the Jewish Interpreters, II: 130).
79 Cf. Ex. 3:13; 4:1; etc.
demeanour and proclamation in 42:2-3a may echo Moses’ unimpressive, at least by his own estimate, locution (cf. Ex. 4:10; 6:12, 30; cf. Nu. 12:3). On the other hand, the countervailing acknowledgement that Yahweh fashioned the servant for this purpose (49:1, 5), that he made his mouth (49:2), that he instructs the servant’s tongue and wakens his ear (50:4-5) appear to echo the divine response to Moses in Exodus 4:11f.: ‘Who gives speech to mortals? Who makes them mute or deaf, seeing or blind? Is it not I, Yahweh? Now go, and I will be with your mouth and teach you what you are to speak.’

8) In 42:6 and 49:8 Yahweh makes the remarkable assertion to his servant, ‘I have given you as a covenant for the people [לבראה נאם]’. Two features of this expression have vexed interpreters. First, since the phrase לבראה נאם, literally ‘a covenant of people,’ is found nowhere else in the OT, the implication of the construct is unclear. In 42:6 the parallel between לבראה נאם and לבראה נאם, ‘to be a light for [the benefit of] the nations,’ however, favours the rendering ‘to be a covenant for [the benefit of] the people.’ The second difficulty concerns the relationship of לבראה נאם to the preceding נאם, ‘curse,’ to לבראה נאם, ‘covenant,’ P.J. Naylor argues that נאם לבראה נאם, ‘to give/present as a covenant,’ should be understood as an example of emphatic metonymy, as is the case with the parallel syntagm נאם לבראה נאם, ‘to give/present as a curse,’ in Numbers 5:21; Jeremiah 29:18; 42:18; and 44:12. Accordingly, as the cursed woman in Numbers 5:21 was an embodiment of that curse, so the servant of Yahweh in Isaiah ‘constitutes the embodiment, and personal existentialisation, of all that the covenant entailed.’

Although the expression ‘to give/present as a covenant’ is nowhere used of the original Moses, it seems entirely apt to describe one whose role is modelled on Moses as the mediator of the covenant at Sinai (Ex. 24; 25:22). To obey Moses was to obey the covenant (Ex. 20:19; cf. 16:8; 17:2).

82 J.L. McKenzie explains: ‘The Servant is called a covenant; the force of the figure means that the Servant mediates between Yahweh and peoples, that the Servant becomes a bond of union’ (Second Isaiah, 40). Cf. also A. Gelin, ‘Moses im Alten Testament,’ in Moses in Schrift und Überlieferung, R. Bloch and G. Vermes, eds.
Faith in Moses was commensurate with faith in the Lord of the covenant (Ex. 14:31; 19:9). On the other hand, Moses is so thoroughly identified with the people that in Exodus 34:27 the covenant was deemed to have been made with Moses, whether in addition to Israel or, as seems more likely, as their representative: ‘Yahweh said to Moses: Write these words; in accordance with these words I have made a covenant with you and [or perhaps, ‘that is’] with Israel [ךְָּבֵּּה יִּתְּנָּה אֶלֶּיהֶם הַצָּבָּא].’

A more specific allusion to Moses’ work as the mediator of the Sinaitic covenant may be intended by the statement in 52:15, if the MT is retained: ‘... so he will sprinkle [NRSV: startle] many nations [בָּשׁוּר נְגוֹיִם]...’ (52:15). Moses was directed to sprinkle [ךְָּבֵּּה] the altar with blood in connection with the consecration of Aaron and his sons (Ex. 29:16, 20; Lv. 8:19, 24). He also sprinkled [ךְָּבֵּּה] Aaron and his sons with blood and oil (Ex. 29:21; Lv. 8:30) and the Levites with water in order to consecrate them for their ministries (Nu. 8:7). In Exodus 24, however, Moses sprinkled not just select individuals, but the entire people: ‘Moses took the blood and sprinkled it on the people [ךְָּבֵּּה על נְגוֹיִם], and said, “See the blood of the covenant that Yahweh has made with you in accordance with all these words”’ (Ex. 24:8). Perhaps Isaiah 52:15 alludes to this.

9) The recurrent themes of the servant’s rejection by the people, his suffering, and his submissive response to opposition have obvious relevance for a second Moses figure if his experience is to parallel that of the original Moses. While the difficulties faced by the servant in 42:4 and 49:4 are unspecified, 49:7 refers to the servant as one who is ‘... deeply despised, abhorred by the nation.’ In 50:6 this rejection and the servant’s submissive response become even more explicit: ‘I gave my back to those who struck me, and my cheeks to those who pulled out the beard; I did not hide my face from insult and spitting.’ It is unlikely that this text refers to merely private acts of opposition and insult. Striking and depilation of one’s beard are well-attested criminal sanctions in the ancient Near East.

(Düsseldorf: Patmos-Verlag, 1963) 31-57, at 55.

83 Cf. Exodus 34:10ff.
84 Although the MT יָּֽבְּּבֵּּה, ‘he will sprinkle,’ is supported by 1QIsaᵃ, 1QIsaᵇ, and the Targum (רַבִּּב, ‘he will scatter’), various implausible emendations have been proposed based on the LXX reading οὕτως θαυμάσονται ἐβην ζολλά ἐπ’ αὐτῷ, ‘many nations shall be amazed at him.’
85 Numbers 19:19f. demonstrates the synonymy of יָּֽבְּּבֵּּה, ‘sprinkle,’ and רָּבְּּה, ‘sprinkle’ or ‘dash,’ in these contexts.
The experience of Moses is apposite; he was characteristically rejected and disdained by those to whom he was sent (Ex. 2:14; 4:1; 15:24; 16:2-12; 17:2f.; Nu. 12:1ff.; 14:2; 16:2ff.; 16:41f.; 20:2f.; 21:5; 26:9). Israel not only complained and rebelled against Moses, but also brought legal charges against him (cf. the use of יֵרָד in Ex. 17:2 and Nu. 20:3) and, on at least one occasion, threatened judicial execution by stoning (Ex. 17:3f.; cf. Nu. 14:10). Such actions demanded and received divine vindication of the servant (cf. Numbers 16). On the other hand, resembling the servant in Isaiah (42:2-3; 50:5-6; 53:3-4, 7), Moses is described in Numbers 12:3 as ‘very humble [יֵדֶע], more so than anyone else on the face of the earth.’ From the context in Numbers, Moses is thus depicted because he was characteristically silent before his detractors; he resisted defending himself, leaving his vindication with Yahweh (cf. Ex. 15:24f.; 16:3f.; Nu. 16:41f.; 20:2-6; 21:5). Moreover, on at least two occasions Moses fell face down before his accusers, perhaps thereby giving his back to those who would strike him (Nu. 14:5; 16:4; see Is. 50:6).

86 It is possible that 53:8a (cf. also 53:9) implies that the servant was a victim of a miscarriage of justice: ‘By oppression and judgement he was taken away [לָכָה מַעֲנָא]’ This expression may be rendered ‘After arrest and sentence he was taken away....’ Cf. H. Blocher, Songs of the Servant, 64.

87 It seems likely that the repulsive appearance and affliction of the servant in 53:2-4 are the result of maltreatment. If it is taken to suggest a condition of divinely imposed leprosy, however, as is suggested by B. Duhm as well as some early interpreters (cf., e.g.,
The mentioned ‘grave with the wicked’ in Isaiah 53:9 may continue the themes of rejection and the apparent miscarriage of justice that was the immediate cause of the servant’s sufferings and death: ‘They made his grave with the wicked... although he had done no violence, and there was no deceit in his mouth.’ Alternatively, it may recall Moses’ burial site in the wilderness, the place in which an entire generation of disobedient Israelites was condemned to die (Nu. 26:65; 32:13; Dt. 4:21f.; etc.; cf. b. *Sotah* 14a).

10) Isaiah 53:12 concludes the fourth servant song: ‘... yet he bore the sin of many, and made intercession for the transgressors.’ Consistent with the view that Isaiah’s servant refers to the expected second Moses, Psalm 99:6, quoted above, highlights Moses’ ministry of intercession as a prominent aspect of his priestly calling. A similar point is made in Jeremiah 15:1, ‘Then Yahweh said to me: Though Moses and Samuel stood before me, yet my heart would not turn toward this people...’ See also Psalm 106:23. The Pentateuch supports this assessment with repeated references to Moses’ intercessory prayer, at first offered on behalf of the Egyptians (Ex. 8:8f., 29f.; 9:33; 10:18) and later offered on behalf of his own undeserving people (Ex. 32:11ff.; Nu. 11:2; 12:11; 14:5; 16:4; 20:6; 21:7; Dt. 9:18-29).88

11) In 53:5 the healing that comes through the servant (‘by his bruises we are healed’) may also support a second Moses theme.89 The Pentateuch offers several examples of Moses’ healing ministry (Nu. 12:13; 21:9; cf. Ex. 15:26; Dt. 28:60f.), which may also have contributed to the emphasis on healing in the ministry of Elijah, who is widely recognised as a second Moses figure.90

12) In terms of the fourth servant song, perhaps the most significant aspect of Moses’ intercessory work was the fact that in his attempt to make
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atoning for Israel’s idolatry with the golden calf he invoked upon himself the well-justified wrath of Yahweh (Ex. 32:30-35). Given the many examples in the second exodus of escalation over the original event (cf., e.g., the lack of ‘haste’ in 52:12 by contrast to Ex. 12:11), a similar escalation in the experience and calling of the ‘prophet like Moses’ should not be unexpected. Although the original Moses was not permitted to endure the wrath of Yahweh on behalf of his guilty people, this second Moses would be: ‘But he was wounded for our transgressions, crushed for our iniquities; upon him was the punishment that made us whole, and by his bruises we are healed’ (53:5; cf. 53:8b, 10, 11b, 12b). Anticipating the promise that ‘Yahweh will make his life a reparation offering [קדש]’ (53:10a), the prophet confesses, ‘All we like sheep have gone astray; we have all turned to our own way, and Yahweh has laid on him the iniquity of us all’ (53:6). As C. Stuhlmueller observes, it appears that a sacrifice greater than that described in Leviticus 4-5 was required because atonement was needed for the wilful sin of a nation, not merely sins of inadvertence.

Although Moses’ self-sacrifice was declined at Mt. Sinai, nevertheless he did suffer for the sake of his people as a result of their rebellion at the Meribah mentioned in Numbers 20:2-13; 27:12-14. The account in Numbers acknowledges that Moses sinned when he struck the rock ‘a second time [בעזרה]’ (presumably referring back to Exodus 17 as the first occasion). The penalty for this offence was that Moses would die without leading Israel into the Promised Land. As with the sufferings of the servant in 53:4, however, ultimately it was not on account of Moses’ own sin that he was ‘stricken, struck down by God, and afflicted.’ The references to this event in Deuteronomy demonstrate that Yahweh was angry with Moses because of Israel’s sin, which had been the provocation for his failure: ‘Even with me

91 H. Schmid rejects a second Moses identification for the servant mainly, it seems, because Moses did not suffer vicariously for the people (Die Gestalt des Mose, 64f.). This objection fails to do justice to Moses’ express wish in Ex. 32:30-35 and the pattern of escalation from type to antitype discussed above.
92 Deutero-Isaiah is not alone in its use of Mosaic aspirations as an apparent basis for Israel’s eschatology. Cf., e.g., Joel 2:28f., which seems to reflect the hope expressed in Numbers 11:29.
Yahweh was angry on your account, saying, “You also shall not enter there” (Dt. 1:37; cf. also Dt. 3:26; 4:20-22).

Finally, it is possible that the promise, ‘he shall see his offspring, and shall prolong his days [םש וְלָודֵג]’ (53:10), implies an additional escalation of the experience of the original Moses. If, as observed by R.J. Clifford, the expression ‘prolong days’ has the meaning that it does in Deuteronomy (cf., e.g., Dt. 22:7, ‘Let the mother [bird] go, taking only the young for yourself, in order that it may go well with you and you may live long [וְרָבָה יְהֵשָׁה]’), then the second Moses will be allowed to enjoy life in the Promised Land. Moreover, given the ample evidence in the immediate context for the metaphorical use of the term ‘seed [םש]’ as a reference to Israel (43:5; 44:3; 48:19; 54:3; etc.; cf. 49:20f.), it appears that the second Moses may experience the realisation of Yahweh’s cancelled promise/threat to Moses in Exodus 32:10 to raise up from him a new Israel.

IV. Conclusion

In conclusion, the present paper suggests a way forward out of the current interpretative impasse regarding the servant’s identity. Rejecting the artificial dismemberment of Isaiah 40-66 advanced by B. Duhm and others, who isolate the servant songs from their immediate literary context, and assisted by the generally neglected Talmudic insight regarding the presence of Mosaic allusions within the songs, this study has argued for an identification of the servant with the expected ‘prophet like Moses’ mentioned in Deuteronomy 18:14ff. and 34:10ff. Although the second Moses hypothesis proves its heuristic value in resolving significant exegetical problems in the servant songs, it does not purport to offer an exhaustive explanation for every detail. It is the contention of this paper,

95 ‘Isaiah 40-66,’ 584. Cf. also Dt. 4:26, 40; 11:9; etc.
96 Since Moses was the paradigm prophet (Dt. 18:14-22; cf. also Ho. 12:14 [ET 13]), there is a second Moses due to the coloration of the biblical accounts of many of the subsequent prophets. Their call narratives are typically patterned after his; there are similarities in their experiences of rejection and suffering, etc. Deborah, Samuel, Elijah, Elisha, and Jeremiah provide obvious examples. While the assessment of Deuteronomy 34:10-12 that none of these attained the stature of the expected ‘prophet like Moses’ still obtains (especially with respect to Moses’ intended self-sacrifice to avert the wrath of Yahweh— cf. Ezk. 13:5; 22:30; Ps. 106:23), one need not exclude the possibility that some
however, that only by recognising the servant as predominantly a second Moses figure can justice be done both to the integrity of the servant songs with their context, which is dominated by second exodus imagery, and to the otherwise perplexing combination of corporate and individual, as well as prophetic, royal, and priestly traits in the portrait of the servant. In short, precisely because he is the long awaited ‘prophet like Moses,’ there is a substantial degree of truth in most previous studies on the identity of the servant. It goes beyond the scope of the present paper to examine the extensive use of the servant songs within the New Testament. Nevertheless, a felicitous consequence of the present approach to the servant songs is the substantial support it offers for the New Testament’s messianic interpretation without presupposing that interpretation, as is often done.

Details in the portrait of the servant, as the final ‘prophet like Moses,’ may have drawn from, or been reinforced by, the experiences of earlier prophets, including Deutero-Isaiah himself.

97 Cf., e.g., M.N. Hooker, Jesus and the Servant. The Influence of the Servant Concept of Deutero-Isaiah in the New Testament (London: S.P.C.K., 1959); W.A. Meeks, The Prophet-King: Moses Traditions and the Johannine Christology (NTS 14; Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1967); R.T. France, ‘The Servant of the Lord in the Teaching of Jesus,’ Tyndale Bulletin 19 (1968) 26-52; idem., Jesus and the Old Testament: His Application of Old Testament Passages to Himself and His Mission (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1982); D.C. Allison, Jr., The New Moses (1993). Although מֶשׁה is not used of the servant within the songs (unless מִשֶּה in 52:14 is emended with 1QIsa to read מִשְׁפָּה, ‘I anointed,’ which seems unlikely), nor is the servant identified as a descendant of David, nevertheless the term ‘messianic,’ understood in a less restricted sense, seems appropriate as a reference to the promised ‘prophet like Moses.’ For evidence that prophets were thought of as ‘anointed,’ cf. Psalm 105:15//1 Chronicles 16:22. Cf. also 1 Kings 19:16; Joel 3:1 [ET 2:28]. Furthermore, if, as was argued above, 61:1-7 refers to the servant of the songs, then this text offers further support for the use of the term ‘messianic’ since מֶשׁה appears in 61:1. See also Acts 3:18 and the discussion of this verse in D.C. Allison, Jr., The New Moses., 75, 88f.